Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/709,802	MAYES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Thompson	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment responsive to non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Kenneth Thompson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James L. Kurka</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	Time: <u>10:32</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's epresentative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		, .
Claims discussed: 1, 12 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was made to correct minor error in claim dependency.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)